6/10/70

Heard (Dick),

This is the time of the day the sun heats my office up and I've what find heat cunongenial, a large case of chiggers, all over, so this acknolwedgemwnt of your 6/6/ meem on CEs 59 and 60 and your 6/6 to Dick will be short. I hope.

You are correct on CE6 Rather FBI 60. I have studied the phtoo they will not make available in copies, the one I wrote you about. Those slits were never made by a bullet or a fragment and, as I earlier suggested, were likely from a scalpel. I am going to sue for this FBI exhibit in photographic form. Mitchell has not yet responded to thisoone. And I'm not hurrying him.

You are probably right about Fink. He probably had a base picture made and, like others working with the materials of others, didn't understand what it proves. Let me know what Archives replies. Lifton has Fink's pictures, or some of them, but do NOT ask him for this.

From your earlier correspondence his pictures were taken earlier and the fragment was missing before mine was taken. But this is assuming Arch honesty, as I do not always do. I tell you that before the Fink picture was taken, there was no loose metal, for I did examine it with care, out of the case. However, when my picture was made. Johnson showed me what he said were Fink's. and there was no base picture included. This does not mean there was none.

Let me add this caution: without seeing the footage, you cannot be certain Fink was showing what you say. He is a professor, and he may have been pointing out, on a single side view, for the benefit of those in the audience he might have thought otherwise might not have understood the nomenclature, which end was the nose, which the base.

Best to both,

HW